IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 26892 of 2003

Between:

1. Smt. K. Varalakshmi, W/o Late Biksham,
   R/o Gandhinagar Village, Singareni Mandal, Khammam District.
2. E. Ravi Babu, S/o Lakshmi Narasu,
   R/o Gandhinagar Village, Singareni Mandal, Khammam District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1. The Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd., Singareni Bhavan, Red Hills,

Hyderabad, represented by its Chairman & Managing Director.
2. Director (PA &W), The Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd.,
   Kothagudem, Khammam District.
3. General manager (Personnel), The Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd.,
   Kothagudem, Khammam District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an order direction or writ particularly one in the nature of *Writ of Mandamus* or any other appropriate writ declaring the action of the respondents in not providing employment of the 2nd petitioner on compassionate grounds as illegal and arbitrary' and consequently direct the respondents to provide employment to the 2nd petitioner on compassionate ground and grant all other consequential benefits.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.G.VIDYASAGAR

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.K.SRINIVASA MURTHY

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

For declaring the action of the respondents in not providing employment to the 2nd petitioner on compassionate grounds as arbitrary and illegal, the present writ petition has been filed.

2. In pursuance of the interim direction given by this Court, the request of the petitioner for appointment of dependent on compassionate grounds was already been rejected and all terminal benefits, which relates to the deceased husband of the first petitioner were settled. In view of the same, there is no lis to be adjudicated in this writ petition. The only remedy is to challenge the said order. However, the petitioner has already been challenged the said rejection order.

3. In view of the observations stated supra, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No order as to costs.

_____

(K.C. BHANU, J)

Date: 15.06.2005

Ksn

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Chairman and Managing Director,

Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd., Singareni Bhavan, Red Hills,

Hyderabad,

2. The Director (PA &W), The Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd.,
   Kothagudem, Khammam District.
3. The General manager (Personnel), The Singareni Collieries Co. Ltd.,
   Kothagudem, Khammam District.

4. 2 CD copies